

Sex Differences in Process-of-Care and In-Hospital Prognosis Among Elderly Patients Hospitalized With Acute Myocardial Infarction

Akira Shikuma, MD, PhD; Masahiro Nishi, MD, PhD; Satoaki Matoba, MD, PhD

Background: Limited studies have demonstrated sex differences in the clinical outcomes and quality of care among elderly patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction (AMI).

Methods and Results: Using nationwide cardiovascular registry data collected in Japan between 2012 and 2019, we enrolled patients aged \geq 45 years. The 30-day and all in-hospital mortality rates, as well as process-of-care measures, were assessed, and mixed-effects logistic regression analysis was performed. A total 254,608 patients were included and stratified into 3 age groups: middle-aged, old and oldest old. The 30-day mortality rates for females and males were as follows: 3.0% vs. 2.7%, with an adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 1.17 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.01–1.36, P=0.030) in middle-aged patients; 7.2% vs. 5.8%, with an OR of 1.14 (95% CI: 1.09–1.21, P<0.001) in old patients; and 19.6% vs. 15.5% with an OR of 1.17 (95% CI: 1.09–1.26, P<0.001) in the oldest old patients. Moreover, significantly higher numbers of female AMI patients across all age groups died in hospital, as well as having fewer invasive procedures and cardiovascular prescriptions, compared with their male counterparts.

Conclusions: This nationwide cohort study revealed that female middle-aged and elderly patients experienced suboptimal quality of care and poorer in-hospital outcomes following AMI, compared with their male counterparts, highlighting the need for more effective management in consideration of sex-specific factors.

Key Words: Acute myocardial infarction; Elderly; In-hospital deaths; Quality of care; Sex differences

dvancements in healthcare services, emergency systems, and reperfusion therapy have improved clinical outcomes of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) globally, despite its persistent status as a major cause of death, imposing substantial socioeconomic pressure.¹⁻³ The clinical outcomes following AMI considerably vary according to baseline clinical risk profiles composed of biologic, demographic, and geographic characteristics. Under these circumstances, quality of care has been getting attention in the pursuit of improving the clinical outcomes for AMI patients.^{4,5}

Sex is a contributory factor for in-hospital prognosis and quality of care among AMI patients. Researchers have reported that young female patients experience inferior quality of care and poorer in-hospital outcomes following AMI, compared with their male counterparts, and the sex differences are attenuated by aging.⁶⁻¹⁰ In addition, biological characteristics, such as female hormones, gestation and its related issues, history of early menopause, and others including psychological, social, economic, and cultural factors, can contribute to the development of cardiovascular disease risk in females.¹¹

Although disparities in outcomes and quality of care based on sex have been predominantly reported in young AMI patients, various sex-specific factors affect clinical outcomes irrespective of age. Given the aging population scenario faced by many countries, including Japan, effective management strategies for elderly patients with critical illnesses are required to enhance both life expectancy and healthy life years, while considering regional and demographic variations. In this study, we aimed to determine the association between sex and in-hospital death, as well as quality of care, among elderly patients admitted for AMI in Japan, using nationwide cardiovascular registry data.

Methods

MELIIU

Setting and Design Data were aggregated from a Japanese registry of all car-

All rights are reserved to the Japanese Circulation Society. For permissions, please email: cj@j-circ.or.jp ISSN-1346-9843



Received July 24, 2023; revised manuscript received August 18, 2023; accepted August 29, 2023; J-STAGE Advance Publication released online October 5, 2023 Time for primary review: 15 days

Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

Mailing address: Masahiro Nishi, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, 465 Kajiicho, Hirokoji, Kawaramachi, Kamigyo-ku, Kyoto 602-8566, Japan. email: nishim@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp



diac and vascular diseases-diagnostics procedure combination, the JROAD-DPC database, obtained from hospitals participating in the JROAD study in Japan.^{4,12} The JROAD data, including hospital attributes, were combined with DPC data, which are medical claims data associated with acute-care hospitalization. This encompassed patient demographics, clinical data inclusive of pharmacological interventions and procedural details. Of all data collected between 2012 and 2019, a total of 313,421 adult AMI patients across 1,068 hospitals, aged \geq 45 years, with a primary diagnosis of AMI, were enrolled. After excluding patients with an unevaluable Killip classification on admission and those with missing values of which variable has missing rates less than 1%, the study population comprised 254,608 patients across 953 hospitals.

The primary outcome was 30-day in-hospital death, and secondary outcomes were all in-hospital deaths, and process-of-care measures including medications and procedures to treat AMI. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine (approval no. ERB-C-2194), and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.2.0.¹³ Baseline characteristics were summarized and compared dichotomously between males and females within each age-defined groups. Categorical values were subjected to the Chi-squared test. Continuous values were subjected to a t-test if normally distributed, and the Mann-Whitney U test if non-normally distributed. To assess in-hospital deaths and process-of-care measures, mixed-effect logistic regression analysis was performed. Multivariables incorporated both patient characteristics (age, sex, obesity as defined by body mass index (BMI) ≥30, Charlson score, Killip class on admission, and Brinkman index) and hospital attributes

(annual volume of AMI patients, annual number of emergency percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) procedures, number of cardiologists, number of cardiology beds, and hospital training status). The hospital identifier was integrated as a random-effect variable toward the intercept. A twosided P value <0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

Results

Patients' Characteristics Stratified by Age and Sex

The compiled data of the 254,608 patients hospitalized due to AMI were divided into 3 age groups: middle age \geq 45 to 65 years (n=78,403), old \geq 65 to 85 years (n=144,166) and oldest old \geq 85 years (n=32,039) (Figure 1). The baseline demographics of these patients are shown in Table 1. The prevalence of obesity (defined as BMI \geq 30) was higher in females than males in all age groups. A distinct trend was observed in the Killip classification with increasing age: a decrease in the proportion of Killip class 1, accompanied by a corresponding increase in the proportions of Killip classes 2, 3, and 4. In the elderly groups, the percentage of patients classified as Killip 1 was least, whereas the proportion of those classified as Killip 3 or 4 was elevated in females. The Charlson comorbidity score exhibited a higher value in females relative to males in the middle-aged group, but, conversely, a lower score was observed in the elderly group. Females incurred lower cost during hospitalization for AMI than males across all age groups. Compared with females, males in the elderly groups were more likely to be admitted to hospitals with a greater number of cardiologists, increased annual volume of AMI hospitalizations, and a high number of emergency PCI cases.

Association Between Sex and Quality of Care of Patients Hospitalized With AMI

A variety of process-of-care measures were examined to

Characteristics	Age ≥45 to 65 years			Age ≥65 to 85 years			Age ≥85 years		
	Male (88.2%, n=69,227)	Female (11.7%, n=9,176)	P value	Male (72.4%, n=104,387)	Female (27.5%, n=39,779)	P value	Male (45.5%, n=14,581)	Female (54.4%, n=17,458)	P value
Patient characteristics									
Age (years)	56.2 (5.6)	57.3 (5.6)	<0.001	73.5 (5.5)	75.6 (5.5)	<0.001	88.1 (2.9)	89.2 (3.5)	<0.001
BMI ≥30 (%)	7,565 (10.9)	1,091 (11.8)	<0.001	2,766 (2.6)	1,745 (4.3)	<0.001	151 (1.0)	303 (1.7)	<0.001
Brinkman index	1,573 (3,348)	1,081 (3,453)	<0.001	1,702 (3,473)	910 (2,888)	<0.001	1,765 (3,575)	843 (2,739)	<0.001
Killip 1 (%)	40,333 (58.2)	5,334 (58.1)	0.90	52,763 (50.5)	19,380 (48.7)	<0.001	5,894 (40.4)	6,408 (36.7)	<0.001
Killip 2–4 (%)	28,894 (41.8)	3,842 (41.9)	0.88	51,624 (49.5)	20,399 (51.3)	<0.001	8,687 (59.6)	11,050 (63.3)	<0.001
Killip 2 (%)	17,614 (25.4)	2,352 (25.6)	0.77	28,953 (27.7)	11,305 (28.4)	0.053	4,417 (30.2)	5,431 (31.1)	0.25
Killip 3 (%)	4,282 (6.1)	564 (6.1)	0.90	8,849 (8.4)	3,783 (9.5)	<0.001	1,789 (12.2)	2,339 (13.3)	0.008
Killip 4 (%)	6,998 (10.1)	926 (10.0)	0.97	13,822 (13.2)	5,311 (13.3)	0.63	2,481 (17.0)	3,280 (18.7)	<0.001
Charlson score	1.97 (0.99)	2.06 (1.12)	<0.001	2.24 (1.21)	2.18 (1.16)	<0.001	2.36 (1.28)	2.21 (1.13)	<0.001
Hypertension (%)	47,878 (69.1)	6,134 (66.8)	0.053	68,000 (65.1)	25,791 (64.8)	0.61	8,316 (57.0)	10,043 (57.5)	0.64
Diabetes (%)	22,155 (32.0)	2,919 (31.8)	0.79	34,111 (32.6)	12,126 (30.4)	<0.001	3,257 (22.3)	3,578 (20.4)	0.001
Dyslipidemia (%)	50,892 (73.5)	6,231 (67.9)	<0.001	64,621 (61.9)	24,327 (61.1)	0.20	6,613 (45.3)	7,590 (43.4)	0.037
Dialysis (%)	1,524 (2.2)	393 (4.2)	<0.001	3,696 (3.5)	1,426 (3.5)	0.70	406 (2.7)	312 (1.7)	<0.001
Duration of hospitalization (days)	14.6 (10.8)	14.8 (11.7)	0.056	16.7 (14.3)	17.8 (15.5)	<0.001	18.6 (16.9)	19.0 (17.3)	0.059
Hospitalization cost (USD)	20,301 (14,184)	18,838 (13,776)	<0.001	21,714 (14,462)	21,396 (15,144)	<0.001	19,778 (13,594)	18,184 (13,057)	<0.001
Hospital characteristics									
Training facility (%)	68,937 (99.5)	9,131 (99.5)	0.96	103,910 (99.5)	39,598 (99.5)	1.0	14,502 (99.4)	17,335 (99.2)	0.92
Cardiologists	7.37 (6.79)	7.27 (6.60)	0.20	7.47 (6.92)	7.39 (7.02)	0.074	7.07 (6.74)	6.69 (6.07)	<0.001
Cardiovascular beds	45.3 (23.9)	45.2 (24.1)	0.81	45.7 (24.6)	45.5 (24.8)	0.25	44.6 (23.9)	44.4 (24.2)	0.54
Hospitalizations for AMI per year	105 (63.5)	105 (64.7)	0.60	106 (65.3)	105 (65.6)	0.021	102 (64.5)	99.6 (64.9)	<0.001
Emergency PCI per year	82.0 (52.9)	81.4 (54.2)	0.30	82.5 (54.5)	81.8 (54.9)	0.031	77.4 (53.5)	77.4 (54.0)	0.003

Patients were stratified by age, and compared between male and female. Data presented as mean (SD) for numerical values, and % for categorical values. AMI, acute myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SD, standard deviation.

evaluate the association between sex and the quality of care for patients hospitalized with AMI (**Table 2**). The procedure rate of PCI was significantly lower in females compared with males across all age groups: the adjusted odds ratio (AOR) was 0.37 (95% CI: 0.35–0.40, P<0.001) in middle-aged patients; 0.71 (95% CI: 0.68–0.74, P<0.001) in old patients; and 0.74 (95% CI: 0.70–0.79, P<0.001) in the oldest old patients. Mechanical circulatory support devices such as intra-aortic balloon pumping (IABP) and veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) were significantly less often used in females than in males across all age groups. The procedure rate of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) was significantly lower in females compared with males only in the oldest

old patients. Thus, female AMI patients were less likely to undergo invasive procedures than their male counterparts.

The prescription of standard medications was also examined as a process-of-care measure for hospitalized AMI patients. Specifically, the prescription of angiotensinconverting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) was significantly lower in female patients than males across all age groups: AOR 0.67 (95% CI: 063–0.70, P<0.001) in middle-aged patients; 0.91 (95% CI: 0.89–0.94, P<0.001) in old patients; and 0.93 (95% CI: 0.89–0.98, P<0.001) in the oldest old patients. Further, the prescriptions for β -blockers exhibited a similar trend of being significantly lower in females compared to males: an adjusted OR was 0.63 (95% CI: 0.60–0.67, P<0.001) in

Table 2. Process-of-Care Measures in Patients Hospitalized With AMI						
Process-of-care	Age ≥45 to 65 years	Age ≥65 to 85 years	Age ≥85 years			
measures	Female vs. male	Female vs. male	Female vs. male			
	OR (95% Cl), P value	OR (95% Cl), P value	OR (95% Cl), P value			
PCI	83.5% vs. 92.8%	86.1% vs. 90.1%	72.6% vs. 79.5%			
	0.37 (0.35–0.40), <0.001	0.71 (0.68–0.74), <0.001	0.74 (0.70–0.79), <0.001			
IABP	11.2% vs. 13.9%	14.8% vs. 16.3%	11.0% vs. 13.5%			
	0.72 (0.66–0.78), <0.001	0.85 (0.82–0.88), <0.001	0.78 (0.72–0.84), <0.001			
VA-ECMO	1.9% vs. 2.4%	1.9% vs. 2.4%	0.76% vs. 1.3%			
	0.83 (0.70–0.99), 0.045	0.84 (0.77–0.91), <0.001	0.61 (0.47–0.79), <0.001			
CABG	1.8% vs. 1.9%	2.5% vs. 2.7%	0.49% vs. 1.2%			
	0.88 (0.74–1.04), 0.15	0.94 (0.87–1.02), 0.18	0.42 (0.32–0.55), <0.001			
ACE inhibitor/ARB	71.2% vs. 78.1%	71.1% vs. 73.2%	58.0% vs. 61.5%			
	0.67 (0.63–0.70), <0.001	0.91 (0.89–0.94), <0.001	0.93 (0.89–0.98), <0.001			
β-blocker	62.6% vs. 71.2%	65.2% vs. 67.2%	52.7% vs. 56.1%			
	0.63 (0.60–0.67), <0.001	0.94 (0.92–0.97), <0.001	0.94 (0.89–0.98), 0.017			
Statin	84.6% vs. 90.4%	81.9% vs. 83.4%	64.4% vs. 68.8%			
	0.57 (0.53–0.61), <0.001	0.97 (0.94–1.00), 0.12	0.91 (0.87–0.96), 0.0016			
Aspirin	94.1% vs. 97.0%	93.3% vs. 95.0%	85.5% vs. 89.2%			
	0.47 (0.42–0.52), <0.001	0.78 (0.74–0.82), <0.001	0.79 (0.73–0.85), <0.001			

First line in each row represents the procedure and prescription rates. Adjusted ORs were calculated for female to male AMI patients in each age group. ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme-inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CI, confidence interval; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pumping; OR, odds ratio; VA-ECMO, veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Table 3. In-Hospital Mortality Rates of Patients Hospitalized With AMI							
In-hospital mortality rate	Age ≥45 to 65 years	Age ≥65 to 85 years	Age ≥85 years				
	Female vs. male OR (95% Cl), P value	Female vs. male OR (95% Cl), P value	Female vs. male OR (95% Cl), P value				
30-day	3.0% vs. 2.7% 1.17 (1.01–1.36), 0.030	7.2% vs. 5.8% 1.14 (1.09–1.21), <0.001	19.6% vs. 15.5% 1.17 (1.09–1.26), <0.001				
All	3.4% vs. 3.0% 1.19 (1.03–1.37), 0.014	8.0% vs. 6.7% 1.10 (1.04–1.16), <0.001	21.4% vs. 17.3% 1.15 (1.08–1.23), <0.001				

First line in each row represents the mortality rate. Adjusted ORs of 30-day and all in-hospital mortality were calculated for female to male patients hospitalized with AMI in each age group. Abbreviations as in Tables 1,2.

middle-aged patients; an OR was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.92–0.97, P<0.001) in old patients; and an OR was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.89–0.98, P<0.001) in oldest old patients. Furthermore, the prescription of aspirin was also significantly lower in females compared to males: an adjusted OR was 0.47 (95% CI: 0.45–0.52, P<0.001) in middle age patients; an OR was 0.78 (95% CI: 0.74–0.82, P<0.001) in old patients; and an OR was 0.79 (95% CI: 0.73–0.85, P<0.001) in oldest old patients. Prescription of statins for females was significantly less in the middle-aged and oldest old groups. Taken together, these findings indicate that female AMI patients were less likely to receive guideline-indicated medications in hospital compared with their male counterparts.

Association Between Sex and In-Hospital Death of Patients Hospitalized With AMI

The 30-day and all in-hospital mortality rates were investigated to evaluate the association between sex and inhospital outcomes (**Table 3**). The 30-day mortality rates for females and males were as follows: 3.0% vs. 2.7% (AOR 1.17, 95% CI: 1.01-1.36, P=0.030) in middle-aged patients; 7.2% vs. 5.8% (AOR 1.14, 95% CI: 1.09-1.21, P<0.001) in old patients; and 19.6% vs. 15.5% (AOR 1.17, 95% CI: 1.09-1.26, P<0.001) in the oldest old patients. All in-hospital mortality rates of females and males were as follows: 3.4% vs. 3.0% (AOR 1.19, 95% CI: 1.03–1.37, P=0.014) in middle-aged patients; 8.0% vs. 6.7% (AOR 1.10 (95% CI: 1.04–1.16, P<0.001) in old patients; and 21.4% vs. 17.3% (AOR 1.15 (95% CI: 1.08–1.23, P<0.001) in the oldest old patients. The effects of all variables for the 30-day in-hospital mortality rate are depicted in **Figure 2**. Collectively, the 30-day and all in-hospital mortality rates for patients hospitalized with AMI were significantly higher for females than for males across all age groups.

Next, we included process-of-care measures as variables for the model. Sex (female) continued to be a significant risk factor for 30-day in-hospital death among elderly AMI patients except for middle-aged patients, even when considering the adjustment by process-of-care measures (**Supplementary Figure**).

Discussion

The main finding of this study is the existence of sex differences in both the care and in-hospital prognosis of patients hospitalized with AMI within Japan, a nation at the forefront of longevity. Despite adjusting crucial factors such as age and Killip classifications, relative to their male counterparts elderly Japanese female patients hospitalized for AMI were less likely to receive invasive procedures such as

Advance Publication



taneous coronary intervention.

PCI or CABG, or guideline-indicated standard care, and subsequently experienced higher in-hospital mortality rates.

Our data elucidated a sex difference in in-hospital mortality rates among the middle-aged and elderly groups. Several studies have proposed prehospital mechanistic causes of sex differences in death after AMI. It is postulated that young females may develop coronary artery disease through divergent biological, psychological, sociological, economic, and cultural factors compared with males.¹¹ Higher prevalence of typical coronary risk factors could also exacerbate adverse clinical outcomes in young females relative to their male counterparts.^{14,15} Despite sexspecific causal factors affecting clinical outcomes regardless of age, many previous investigations, largely conducted in other Western countries, suggest that only young adult females exhibit higher short- and long-term mortality rates

compared with age-matched males after AMI. On the other hand, some regional studies in Japan have not observed a sex difference in deaths due to AMI.^{16,17} A study using the JROAD-DPC data suggested an influence of regionality represented by population density on inhospital deaths among AMI patients in Japan.¹⁸ Overall, this evidence suggest that the effect of sex on outcomes following AMI can differ among regions.

Quality of care plays a pivotal role in the discrepancies in clinical outcomes of AMI for males and females. Indeed, adherence to evidence-based, guideline-indicated treatment can ameliorate the mortality rates among AMI patients.¹⁹ Besides patient characteristics and hospital attributes, the physician is also an important factor in the sex differences in quality of care for AMI patients because the physician's ability or discretion can bias a patient's management and clinical outcome. Physicians tend to underestimate the cardiovascular risks among females, with subsequent suboptimal risk prevention efforts.²⁰⁻²² Our results are consistent with previous studies that identified a propensity for female AMI patients to receive less guideline-indicated care and consequently experience higher mortality rates than their male counterparts.²³ Reports have also indicated that females suffering from AMI confront a delay in the initiation of therapeutic interventions compared with males, again attributable to underestimation of cardiovascular risks and different manifestation of symptoms.^{24,25} In this study, the hospitals to which the elderly females were admitted had fewer cardiologists and less experience in treating AMI. A report by Alabas et al showed that sex differences in deaths after AMI were considerably mitigated by administration of guideline-indicated treatments.26 Taken together, the effect of the quality of care on clinical outcomes after AMI could substantially alter age-specific sex-related mortality rates.

Study Limitations

There are several limitations that derive from the nature of the JROAD-DPC dataset. First, it did not include laboratory data. Second, it lacked ECG information, and ST deviation was not determinable. Third, it also lacked information about frailty and cognitive function as well as socioeconomic status. Finally, the cause of death was undisclosed.

In conclusion, this nationwide large cohort study revealed that middle-aged and elderly female patients received suboptimal quality of care during hospitalization due to AMI and subsequent poorer in-hospital outcomes compared with their male counterparts. Delivering the necessary medical care will improve patient outcomes, and more attention to providing guideline-indicated treatment, while considering sex-specific factors, for female patients admitted with AMI will reduce in-hospital mortality rates.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Japanese Circulation Society Grant for Future-Pioneering Doctors for Clinical Research, and Foundation for Total Health Promotion.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

IRB Information

The Ethics Committee of Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine (approval no. ERB-C-2194).

Data Availability

The deidentified participant data will not be shared.

References

- Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2020 Update: A report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2020; **141**: e139–e596, doi:10.1161/CIR.000000000000757.
- Krumholz HM, Normand ST, Wang Y. Twenty-year trends in outcomes for older adults with acute myocardial infarction in the United States. JAMA Netw Open 2019; 2: e191938, doi:10.1001/ jamanetworkopen.2019.1938.
- Salah HM, Minhas AMK, Khan MS, Khan SU, Ambrosy AP, Blumer V, et al. Trends in hospitalizations for heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and stroke in the United States from 2004 to 2018. *Am Heart J* 2022; 243: 103–109, doi:10.1016/j.ahj. 2021.09.009.
- Nakao K, Yasuda S, Nishimura K, Noguchi T, Nakai M, Miyamoto Y, et al. Prescription rates of guideline-directed medications are associated with in-hospital mortality among japanese patients with acute myocardial infarction: A report From JROAD-DPC study. J Am Heart Assoc 2019; 8: e009692, doi:10.1161/JAHA.118.009692.
- Schiele F, Gale CP, Bonnefoy E, Capuano F, Claeys MJ, Danchin N, et al. Quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction: A position paper of the Acute Cardiovascular Care Association. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2017; 6: 34–59, doi:10.1177/ 2048872616643053.
- Bangalore S, Fonarow GC, Peterson ED, Hellkamp AS, Hernandez AF, Laskey W, et al. Age and gender differences in quality of care and outcomes for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Med* 2012; **125**: 1000–1009, doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2011.11.016.
- Canto JG, Rogers WJ, Goldberg RJ, Peterson ED, Wenger NK, Vaccarino V, et al. Association of age and sex with myocardial infarction symptom presentation and in-hospital mortality. *JAMA* 2012; 307: 813–822, doi:10.1001/jama.2012.199.
- Dreyer RP, Wang Y, Strait KM, Lorenze NP, D'Onofrio G, Bueno H, et al. Gender differences in the trajectory of recovery in health status among young patients with acute myocardial infarction: Results from the variation in recovery: Role of gender on outcomes of young AMI patients (VIRGO) study. *Circulation* 2015; 131: 1971–1980, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114. 014503.
- Cenko E, Yoon J, Kedev S, Stankovic G, Vasiljevic Z, Krljanac G, et al. Sex differences in outcomes after STEMI: Effect modification by treatment strategy and age. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018; 178: 632–639, doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.0514.
- Bandyopadhyay D, Chakraborty S, Amgai B, Patel N, Hajra A, Heise L, et al. Acute myocardial infarction in the young: National Trend Analysis with gender-based difference in outcomes. *Int J Cardiol* 2020; **301:** 21–28, doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.11.096.
- Vogel B, Acevedo M, Appelman Y, Bairey Merz CN, Chieffo A, Figtree GA, et al. The Lancet Women and Cardiovascular Disease Commission: Reducing the global burden by 2030. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 2385–2438, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00684-X.
- Nishi M, Seki T, Shikuma A, Kawamata H, Horiguchi G, Matoba S. Association between patient volume to cardiologist, process of care, and clinical outcomes in heart failure. *ESC Heart Fail* 2023; 10: 2262–2268, doi:10.1002/ehf2.14385.
- R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing V, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/ (accessed September 1, 2023).
- Dreyer RP, Sciria C, Spatz ES, Safdar B, D'Onofrio G, Krumholz HM. Young women with acute myocardial infarction: Current perspectives. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2017; 10: e003480, doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.116.003480.
- Egiziano G, Akhtari S, Pilote L, Daskalopoulou SS, GENESIS (GENdEr and Sex Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease) Investigators. Sex differences in young patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Diabet Med* 2013; **30:** e108–e114, doi:10.1111/ dme.12084.
- Toyota T, Furukawa Y, Ehara N, Funakoshi S, Morimoto T, Kaji S, et al. Sex-based differences in clinical practice and outcomes for Japanese patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circ J* 2013; 77: 1508–1517, doi:10.1253/circj.CJ-12-1161.

- Nagumo S, Mori H, Maeda A, Akashi YJ, Ako J, Ikari Y, et al. Sex-related differences in in-hospital mortality in Japanese STelevation acute myocardial infarction patients presenting to hospital in the 24 hours after symptom onset: Results from K-ACTIVE. *Circ Rep* 2019; 1: 313–319, doi:10.1253/circrep.CR-19-0041.
- Matsuzawa Y, Konishi M, Nakai M, Saigusa Y, Taguri M, Gohbara M, et al. In-hospital mortality in acute myocardial infarction according to population density and primary angioplasty procedures volume. *Circ J* 2020; 84: 1140–1146, doi:10. 1253/circj.CJ-19-0869.
- Dondo TB, Hall M, Timmis AD, Gilthorpe MS, Alabas OA, Batin PD, et al. Excess mortality and guideline-indicated care following non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2017; 6: 412–420, doi:10.1177/2048872616647705.
- Mathews R, Wang W, Kaltenbach LA, Thomas L, Shah RU, Ali M, et al. Hospital variation in adherence rates to secondary prevention medications and the implications on quality. *Circulation* 2018; 137: 2128–2138, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117. 029160.
- Raparelli V, Pilote L, Dang B, Behlouli H, Dziura JD, Bueno H, et al. Variations in quality of care by sex and social determinants of health among younger adults with acute myocardial infarction in the US and Canada. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021; 4: e2128182, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.28182.
- 22. Singh A, Collins BL, Gupta A, Fatima A, Qamar A, Biery D, et

al. Cardiovascular risk and statin eligibility of young adults after an MI: Partners YOUNG-MI Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018; **71:** 292–302, doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.007.

- Wilkinson C, Bebb O, Dondo TB, Munyombwe T, Casadei B, Clarke S, et al. Sex differences in quality indicator attainment for myocardial infarction: A nationwide cohort study. *Heart* 2019; 105: 516–523, doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2018-313959.
- Sederholm Lawesson S, Isaksson RM, Ericsson M, Angerud K, Thylen I, SymTime Study Group. Gender disparities in first medical contact and delay in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A prospective multicentre Swedish survey study. *BMJ Open* 2018; 8: e020211, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020211.
- Liakos M, Parikh PB. Gender disparities in presentation, management, and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2018; 20: 64, doi:10.1007/s11886-018-1006-7.
- Alabas OÂ, Gale CP, Hall M, Rutherford MJ, Szummer K, Lawesson SS, et al. Sex differences in treatments, relative survival, and excess mortality following acute myocardial infarction: National cohort study using the SWEDEHEART Registry. J Am Heart Assoc 2017; 6: e007123, doi:10.1161/JAHA.117.007123.

Supplementary Files

Please find supplementary file(s); https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.CJ-23-0543